

Asymptotic stability of singular solution to nonlinear heat equation

Dominika Pilarczyk

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski,
pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland
e-mail: dpilarcz@math.uni.wroc.pl

April 24, 2009

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the asymptotic stability of singular steady states of the nonlinear heat equation $u_t = \Delta u + u^p$ in weighted L^r -norms.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 35K57, 35B33, 35B40.

Keywords: semilinear parabolic equation, asymptotics of solutions, supercritical nonlinearity

1 Introduction

It is well-known that the behaviour for large t of solutions of the Cauchy problem

$$u_t = \Delta u + u^p, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad (1.2)$$

depends on the value of the exponent p of the nonlinearity. Let us first recall the critical value of $p = p_F = 1 + 2/n$ called the Fujita exponent which borders the case of a finite-time blow-up for all positive solutions (for $p \leq p_F$) and the case of the existence of some global bounded positive solutions (if $p > p_F$). It is also known that the Sobolev exponent $p_S = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ is critical for the existence of positive steady states that is classical solutions $\psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the elliptic equation $\Delta \psi + \psi^p = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Such solutions exist only if $p \geq p_S$ (see e.g. [1], [8]). Moreover, for $p \geq p_S$ there is a one parameter family of radial positive steady states ψ_k , $k > 0$, given by

$$\psi_k(x) = k\psi_1(k^{\frac{p-1}{2}}|x|), \quad (1.3)$$

where ψ_1 is the unique radial stationary solution with $\psi_1(0) = 1$, which is strictly decreasing in $|x|$ and satisfies $\psi_1(|x|) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ (see [17]).

Another important exponent

$$p_{JL} = \frac{n - 2\sqrt{n-1}}{n - 4 - 2\sqrt{n-1}} \quad \text{for } n \geq 11,$$

appeared for the first time in [10] where the authors studied problems with the nonlinearities of the form $f(u) = a(1 + bu)^p$ for some $a, b > 0$. It is also connected with a change in stability property of positive steady states defined in (1.3). Indeed, Gui *et al.* [9] proved that for $p < p_{JL}$, all positive stationary solutions ψ_k are unstable in any reasonable sense, while for $p \geq p_{JL}$ they are "weakly asymptotically stable" in a weighted L^∞ -norm. Results on the asymptotic stability of zero solution to (1.1)-(1.2) can be found in [16] and in the references given there.

Let us recall that for $p \geq p_{JL}$ the family of the positive equilibria ψ_k , $k > 0$, forms a simply ordered curve. Furthermore, this curve connects the trivial solution if $k \rightarrow 0$ and the singular steady state for $k \rightarrow \infty$, which exists for $p > p_{st} = n/(n-2)$ in dimensions $n \geq 3$ and has the form $v_\infty(x) = L|x|^{-2/(p-1)}$ with a suitably chosen constant L (see (2.1) below). It is also known ([17]) that if $p_S \leq p < p_{JL}$ the graphs of the steady states ψ_k , $0 < k < \infty$, intersect the graph of v_∞ , whereas for $p \geq p_{JL}$ we have $\psi_k < v_\infty$, ($0 < k < \infty$).

Our main goal in this note is to prove asymptotic stability of the singular stationary solution v_∞ in suitable weighted L^r -spaces using estimates of a fundamental solution to a parabolic equation with singular coefficients [11, 13].

2 Results and comments

It can be directly checked that for $p > p_{st} = n/(n-2)$ and $n \geq 3$ equation (1.1) has the singular stationary solution of the form

$$v_\infty(x) = L|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} = \left(\frac{2}{p-1} \left(n - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} |x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}, \quad (2.1)$$

which plays the central role in this paper.

In particular, problem (1.1)–(1.2) with a nonnegative initial datum u_0 , which is bounded and below singular steady state v_∞ , has the global in time classical solution (see [17, Th. 20.5 (i)] and [14, Th. 1.1]). Moreover, following Galaktionov & Vazquez [7, Th. 10.4 (ii)], we may generalize that result and prove that if $0 \leq u_0(x) \leq v_\infty(x)$ and $u_0(x) \not\equiv v_\infty(x)$, then the limit function $u(x, t) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} u^N(x, t)$, where $u^N = u^N(x, t)$ is the solution of the problem

$$u_t = \Delta u + u^p, \quad u(x, 0) = \min\{u_0(x), N\},$$

solves (1.1) and $u(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t > 0$. By those reasons, in the theorems below we always assume that u is the nonnegative solution to the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.2) with the initial datum u_0 satisfying

$$0 \leq u_0(x) \leq v_\infty(x). \quad (2.2)$$

In order to show the asymptotic stability of the steady state v_∞ we linearize (1.1) around v_∞ . Denoting by $u = u(x, t)$ the nonnegative solution to (1.1)–(1.2) and introducing $w = v_\infty - u$, we obtain

$$w_t = \Delta w + \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2} w - [(v_\infty - w)^p - v_\infty^p + p v_\infty^{p-1} w], \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\lambda = \lambda(n, p) = \frac{2p}{p-1} \left(n - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Next, we use estimates of the fundamental solution of the linear heat equation with singular potential

$$u_t = \Delta u + \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0 \quad (2.5)$$

obtained recently by Liskevich & Sobol [11], Milman & Semenov [13] (see also Moschini & Tesi [12]). As the consequence of the Hardy inequality, it is crucial in that reasoning to assume that $\lambda \leq \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$ in equation (2.5). Coming back to the perturbed equation (2.3) and using the explicit form of $\lambda(n, p)$ in (2.4), we obtain by direct calculation (see Remark 4.1 for more details) that the inequality $\lambda(n, p) \leq \frac{(n-4)^2}{4}$ is valid if

$$p \geq p_{JL} = \frac{n - 2\sqrt{n-1}}{n - 4 - 2\sqrt{n-1}} \quad \text{for } n \geq 11. \quad (2.6)$$

By this reason, we limit ourselves to the exponent p of the nonlinearity in (1.1) satisfying (2.6). The exponents mentioned above are ordered as follows: $p_F < p_{st} < p_S < p_{JL}$.

We introduce the parameter σ which plays a crucial role in our reasoning by the formula

$$\sigma = \sigma(n, p) = \frac{n-2}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} - \frac{2p}{p-1} \left(n - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right)}. \quad (2.7)$$

It is worth pointing out that $\sigma(n, p) > 2/(p-1)$ if $p > p_{st}$ and $n > 2$. Moreover, the number $\sigma(n, p)$ has the property $2\sigma(n, p) < n$. Let us also notice that $\sigma(n, p)$ appears in a hidden way in the papers of Poláčik, Yanagida, Fila, Winkler (see *e.g.* [15], [6]), because it is the sum of the constant $2/(p-1)$ and λ_1 , where λ_1 is one of the root of the quadratic polynomial $z^2 - (n-2-2L)z + 2(n-2-L)$, given explicitly by the formula

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(n - 2 - 2L - \sqrt{(n-2-2L)^2 - 8(n-2-L)} \right),$$

where L is defined in (2.1).

Now we are in a position to formulate our first result on the convergence of the solutions towards the singular steady state.

Theorem 2.1. Assume (2.2), (2.6), (2.7). Suppose, moreover, that there exist constants $b > 0$ and $\ell \in (\sigma, n - \sigma)$ such that

$$v_\infty(x) - b|x|^{-\ell} \leq u_0(x)$$

for all $|x| \geq 1$. Then

$$\sup_{|x| \leq \sqrt{t}} |x|^\sigma (v_\infty(x) - u(x, t)) \leq Ct^{-\frac{\ell-\sigma}{2}} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\sup_{|x| \geq \sqrt{t}} (v_\infty(x) - u(x, t)) \leq Ct^{-\frac{\ell}{2}}. \quad (2.9)$$

for a constant $C > 0$ and all $t \geq 1$.

Poláčik & Yanagida [14, Th. 6.1] showed that under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 the pointwise convergence holds true, namely, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = v_\infty(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. More recently, Fila & Winkler [5] proved the uniform convergence of solutions $u = u(x, t)$ toward a singular steady state on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\nu(0)$, where $B_\nu(0)$ is the ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the center at the origin and radius ν . Theorem 2.1 completes those results by providing optimal weighted decay estimates in the whole $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 2.1. Note that our calculations in the proof of Theorem 2.1 are valid for any $\ell \in (2/(p-1), n - \sigma)$, but for $\ell \in (2/(p-1), \sigma]$ the right-hand side of inequality (2.8) does not decay in time. $\square$

We can improve Theorem 2.1 for $\ell = \sigma$ as follows.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied. Suppose that there exists a constant $b > 0$ such that

$$v_\infty(x) - b|x|^{-\sigma} \leq u_0(x).$$

Let, moreover,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^\sigma (v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)) = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq \sqrt{t}} |x|^\sigma (v_\infty(x) - u(x, t)) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \sup_{|x| \geq \sqrt{t}} (v_\infty(x) - u(x, t)) = 0.$$

Corollary 2.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, respectively if, moreover, b is sufficiently small, we obtain*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty \geq Ct^{\frac{\ell-\sigma}{\sigma(p-1)-2}} \quad \text{if} \quad \ell \in (\sigma, n-\sigma) \quad (2.10)$$

for a constant $C > 0$ and all $t \geq 1$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = +\infty \quad \text{if} \quad \ell = \sigma. \quad (2.11)$$

Remark 2.2. Estimates from below of $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty$, similar to that stated in (2.10), were obtained by Fila *et al.* in [2, Theorem 1.1.], [6, Theorem 1.1.] and improved in [3, Theorem 1.1.] using matched asymptotics expansions. In Corollary 2.1, we emphasize that this inequality is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1. $\square$

Remark 2.3. For $p > p_{JL}$ estimates (2.8) and (2.9) seem to be optimal, because they imply the optimal lower bound (2.10), see [3]. On the other hand, for $p = p_{JL}$ the authors of [4] obtained the logarithmic factor on the right-hand side of (2.10), which we are not able to see by our method. $\square$

Our next goal is to prove the asymptotic stability of v_∞ in the Lebesgue space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7) are valid.*

i) *Suppose that $v_\infty - u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\cdot|^{-\sigma}(v_\infty - u_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\|v_\infty(\cdot) - u(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}} \|v_\infty - u_0\|_1 + Ct^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{4}} \| |\cdot|^{-\sigma}(v_\infty - u_0) \|_1. \quad (2.12)$$

ii) *Suppose that $v_\infty - u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v_\infty(\cdot) - u(\cdot, t)\|_2 = 0.$$

Note, that $v_\infty \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $p > p_F$. Here, this property of the singular solution v_∞ is satisfied, because $p_{JL} > p_F$.

Using the fact that the steady states ψ_k defined in (1.3) are below the singular stationary solution v_∞ for $p > p_{JL}$, we may rephrase Theorem 2.3 as follows.

Corollary 2.2. *Assume that (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7) are valid. Let ψ_k be the stationary solutions (1.3) for some $k > 0$.*

i) *Suppose that $\psi_k - u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\cdot|^{-\sigma}(\psi_k - u_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\|\psi_k(\cdot) - u(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}} \|\psi_k - u_0\|_1 + Ct^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{4}} \| |\cdot|^{-\sigma}(\psi_k - u_0) \|_1. \quad (2.13)$$

ii) *Suppose that $\psi_k - u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_k(\cdot) - u(\cdot, t)\|_2 = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Remark 2.4. Observe that Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.2 complete the results by Poláčik & Yanagida, who proved in [14, Proposition 3.5] the stability estimate

$$\|\psi_k(\cdot) - u(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq \|\psi_k - u_0\|_2.$$

$\square$

3 Linear equation with a singular potential

In this section we recall the estimate from above of the fundamental solution of the equation $u_t = \Delta u + \lambda|x|^{-2}u$ obtained by Liskevich & Sobol in [11] and by Milman & Semenov [13]. Following those arguments, we define the weights $\varphi_\sigma(x, t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ as

$$\varphi_\sigma(x, t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|}\right)^\sigma & \text{if } |x| \leq \sqrt{t}, \\ 1 & \text{if } |x| \geq \sqrt{t}. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Theorem 3.1. [11, 13] *Let $Hu = \Delta u + \lambda|x|^{-2}u$. Assume that $0 \leq \lambda \leq (n-2)^2/4$. The semigroup e^{-tH} of the linear operators generated by H can be written as the integral operator with a kernel $e^{-tH}(x, y)$, namely*

$$e^{-tH}u_0(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-tH}(x, y)u_0(y) \, dy.$$

Moreover, there exist positive constants $C > 0$ and $c > 1$, such that for all $t > 0$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$

$$0 \leq e^{-tH}(x, y) \leq C\varphi_\sigma(x, t) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) G(x - y, ct), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\sigma = \frac{n-2}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} - \lambda}$, the functions φ_σ are defined in (3.1) (see also Remark 3.1 below) and $G(x, t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp(-|x|^2/4\pi t)$ is the heat kernel.

Remark 3.1. In fact, Milman & Semenov in [13] used the more regular weight functions $\Phi_\sigma \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, namely

$$\Phi_\sigma(x, t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|}\right)^\sigma & \text{if } |x| \leq \sqrt{t}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |x| \geq 2\sqrt{t} \end{cases}$$

and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \Phi_\sigma(x, t) \leq 1$ for $\sqrt{t} \leq |x| \leq 2\sqrt{t}$. It can be checked directly that there exist positive constants c and C for which the inequalities

$$c\varphi_\sigma(x, t) \leq \Phi_\sigma(x, t) \leq C\varphi_\sigma(x, t)$$

hold true, where φ_σ are defined by (3.1). By this reason we are allowed to use the weights φ_σ instead of Φ_σ . $\square$

The following theorem is the consequence of the estimates stated in (3.2).

Theorem 3.2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 be valid. Assume that $p > 1 + \frac{2}{n-\sigma}$. Suppose that there exist $b > 0$ and $\ell \in (\frac{2}{p-1}, n - \sigma)$ such that a nonnegative function w_0 satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(x) &\leq b|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} & \text{for } |x| \leq 1, \\ w_0(x) &\leq b|x|^{-\ell} & \text{for } |x| \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t) |e^{-tH}w_0(x)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \quad (3.3)$$

for a constant $C > 0$ and all $t \geq 1$.

Proof. First, for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we apply the estimate of the kernel e^{-tH} from Theorem 3.1 in the following way

$$|\varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t) e^{-tH} w_0(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x - y, ct) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) w_0(y) \, dy.$$

Next, we split the integral on the right-hand side into three parts $I_1(x, t)$, $I_2(x, t)$ and $I_3(x, t)$ according to the definition of the weights φ_σ and the assumptions on the function w_0 . Let us begin with $I_1(x, t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, t) &\equiv C \int_{|y| \leq 1} G(x - y, ct) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) w_0(y) \, dy \\ &\leq C b t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_{|y| \leq 1} G(x - y, ct) |y|^{-\sigma - \frac{2}{p-1}} \, dy \leq C b t^{-\frac{n-\sigma}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

because $G(x - y, ct)$ is bounded by $C t^{-\frac{n}{2}}$ and the function $|y|^{-\sigma - \frac{2}{p-1}}$ is integrable for $|y| \leq 1$ if $p > 1 + \frac{2}{n-\sigma}$.

We use the same argument to deal with

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x, t) &\equiv C \int_{1 \leq |y| \leq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) w_0(y) \, dy \\ &\leq C b t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_{1 \leq |y| \leq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) |y|^{-\sigma - \ell} \, dy \leq C b t^{\frac{\sigma-n}{2}} \int_{1 \leq |y| \leq \sqrt{t}} |y|^{-\sigma - \ell} \, dy \\ &\leq C b t^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} + C b t^{-\frac{n-\sigma}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(x, t) &\equiv C \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) w_0(y) \, dy \\ &\leq C b \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) |y|^{-\ell} \, dy \leq C b t^{-\frac{\ell}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

using the inequality $1 \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|}\right)^\ell$ for $|y| \geq \sqrt{t}$ and the identity $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x - y, ct) \, dy = 1$ for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $\ell \in (\frac{2}{p-1}, n - \sigma)$, we complete the proof of (3.3). $\square$

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $|\cdot|^\sigma w_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^\sigma w_0(x) = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t) e^{-tH} w_0(x)| = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. For every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we use the estimate from Theorem 3.1 as follows

$$\varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t) |e^{-tH} w_0(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x - y, ct) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) w_0(y) \, dy.$$

We decompose the integral on the right-hand side according to the definition of φ_σ and we estimate each term separable. Substituting $y = z\sqrt{t}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, t) &\equiv C \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|} \right)^\sigma w_0(y) \, dy \\ &= Ct^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_{|z| \leq 1} G\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} - z, c \right) |z|^{-2\sigma} |\sqrt{t}z|^\sigma w_0(\sqrt{t}z) \, dz. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} I_1(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, because $G\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} - z, c\right)$ is bounded and the function $|z|^{-2\sigma}$ is integrable for $|z| \leq 1$. By the assumption imposed on w_0 , given $\varepsilon > 0$ we may choose t so large that

$$\sup_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} |y|^\sigma w_0(y) < \varepsilon.$$

Now, using the inequality $1 \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|}\right)^\sigma$ for $|y| \geq \sqrt{t}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x, t) &\equiv \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) w_0(y) \, dy \leq t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) |y|^\sigma w_0(y) \, dy \\ &\leq \varepsilon t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x - y, ct) \, dy = 1$ for all $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get

$$t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} I_2(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Let us defined the weighted L^q -norm as follows

$$\|f\|_{q, \varphi_\sigma(t)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) \varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t)|^q \varphi_\sigma^2(x, t) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq q < \infty,$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\infty, \varphi_\sigma(t)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t) |f(x)| \quad \text{for } q = \infty.$$

Note, that in particular for $q = 2$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2, \varphi_\sigma(t)}$ agrees with the usual L^2 -norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Then the following inequality holds true*

$$\|e^{-tH}w_0\|_{q,\varphi_\sigma(t)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q})}\|w_0\|_{r,\varphi_\sigma(t)} \quad (3.5)$$

for every $1 \leq r \leq q \leq \infty$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof of estimate (3.5) can be directly deduced from the reasoning by Milman & Semenov. Indeed, in [13, page 381], we can find the inequality

$$\|\varphi_\sigma^{-1}e^{-tH}\varphi_\sigma f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,\varphi_\sigma^2(x,t) \, dx)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n,\varphi_\sigma^2(x,t) \, dx)}.$$

Hence, substituting $\varphi_\sigma f = w_0$ and using the definitions of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{q,\varphi_\sigma(t)}$, we obtain (3.5) with $q = 2$ and $r = 1$. This inequality together with

$$\|e^{-tH}w_0\|_{1,\varphi_\sigma(t)} \leq C\|w_0\|_{1,\varphi_\sigma(t)},$$

stated in [13, page 391], imply (3.5) for $q = 1$ and every $1 \leq r \leq 2$ by Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem. Moreover, the operator e^{-tH} is self-adjoint, so by duality the inequality

$$\|e^{-tH}w_0\|_{\infty,\varphi_\sigma(t)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}}\|w_0\|_{2,\varphi_\sigma(t)}$$

holds true. The semigroup property $e^{-tH} = e^{-t/2H}e^{-t/2H}$ leads to (3.5) with $q = \infty$ and $r = 1$. Applying duality and Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem once more, we complete the proof of (3.5). Let us emphasize at the end of this reasoning, that the inequalities (3.5) are used by Milman & Semenov in [13] to derive the kernel estimate (3.2). $\square$

4 Linearization around a singular steady state

Let u be a solution of (1.1) with initial datum satisfying (2.2). We substitute

$$w(x, t) = v_\infty(x) - u(x, t)$$

to get

$$w_t = \Delta w + \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2}w - [(v_\infty - w)^p - v_\infty^p + pv_\infty^{p-1}w], \quad (4.1)$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(n, p) = \frac{2p}{p-1}(n - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1})$. Let us note that the last term on the right-hand side of equation (4.1) is non positive, namely

$$(v_\infty - w)^p - v_\infty^p \geq -pv_\infty^{p-1}w,$$

which is the direct consequence of the convexity of the function $f(s) = s^p$. Indeed, since the graph of the function f lies above all of its tangents, we have $f(s-h) - f(s) \geq -f'(s)h$ for all s and h in $\mathbb{R}$.

The proofs of our results are based on the following elementary observation. If w is a nonnegative solution of equation (4.1) with the initial condition $w_0(x) \geq 0$, then

$$0 \leq w(x, t) \leq e^{-tH}w_0(x)$$

with $Hw = \Delta w + \lambda(n, p)|x|^{-2}w$. Consequently, using the condition $0 \leq u_0(x) \leq v_\infty(x)$ and the just-mentioned comparison principle we can write

$$0 \leq v_\infty(x) - u(x, t) \leq e^{-tH}(v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)) \quad (4.2)$$

or , equivalently,

$$v_\infty(x) - e^{-tH}(v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)) \leq u(x, t) \leq v_\infty(x). \quad (4.3)$$

Remark 4.1. If $n \geq 11$ and either $p \geq p_{JL}$ or $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \frac{n+2\sqrt{n-1}}{n-4+2\sqrt{n-1}}$, then the linearized problem

$$\begin{aligned} w_t &= \Delta w + \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2}w, \\ w(x, 0) &= w_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda = \lambda(n, p) = \frac{2p}{p-1}(n-2 - \frac{2}{p-1})$ has the unique solution. Indeed, in the view of Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lambda(n, p) = \frac{2p}{p-1} \left(n-2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \leq \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}.$$

Substituting $y = 1/(p-1)$, after elementary calculations, we arrive at the inequality

$$16y^2 + (32 - 8n)y + n^2 - 12n + 20 \geq 0$$

which has the solution $y \in (-\infty, \frac{n-4-2\sqrt{n-1}}{4}] \cup [\frac{n-4+\sqrt{n-1}}{4}, +\infty)$. Moreover, if $n \geq 11$, then $\frac{n-4-2\sqrt{n-1}}{4} > 0$ and if $n \in (2, 10)$, then $\frac{n-4-2\sqrt{n-1}}{4} < 0$ and $\frac{n-4+\sqrt{n-1}}{4} > 0$. These observations give us that $p \geq p_{JL}$ or $\frac{n}{n-2} < p \leq \frac{n+2\sqrt{n-1}}{n-4+2\sqrt{n-1}}$. $\square$

5 Asymptotic stability of steady states

Proof of Theorem 2.1. It suffices to use inequality (4.2) and to estimate its right-hand side by Theorem 3.2. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to use (4.2) together with Theorem 3.3 substituting $w_0(x) = v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)$. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 2.1. Since we have inequality (4.3), it suffices to prove that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} [v_\infty(x) - e^{-tH} w_0(x)] \geq C(b) t^{\frac{\ell-\sigma}{\sigma(p-1)-2}}$$

for $w_0 = v_\infty - u_0$. Hence, inequality (3.3) from Theorem 3.2 enables us to write

$$v_\infty(x) - e^{-tH} (v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)) \geq v_\infty(x) - Cb\varphi_\sigma(x, t)t^{-\frac{\ell}{2}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$. Next, using the explicit form of the weights φ_σ , we define the function

$$F(|x|, t) = v_\infty(|x|) - Cb\varphi_\sigma(x, t)t^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} = \begin{cases} L|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} - Cbt^{\frac{\sigma-\ell}{2}}|x|^{-\sigma} & \text{for } |x| \leq \sqrt{t}, \\ L|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} - Cbt^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} & \text{for } |x| \geq \sqrt{t}. \end{cases}$$

An easy computation shows that the function F has its maximum at

$$|x| = C(b) t^{\frac{\sigma-\ell}{2} \frac{p-1}{\sigma(p-1)-2}}$$

and it is equal to

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(|x|, t) = C(b) t^{\frac{\ell-\sigma}{\sigma(p-1)-2}}$$

for some constant $C(b) \geq 0$. Hence, we get (2.10).

To obtain (2.11), we use the result from Theorem 3.3. It follows from (3.4) that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$|e^{-tH} w_0(x)| < \varepsilon \varphi_\sigma(x, t) t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > T$. Hence, by (4.3), we have

$$v_\infty(x) - e^{-tH} (v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)) \geq v_\infty(x) - C\varepsilon \varphi_\sigma(x, t) t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}.$$

Now, once more using the explicit form of the weights φ_σ , we consider the function

$$G(|x|, t) = v_\infty(|x|) - C\varepsilon \varphi_\sigma(x, t) t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} = \begin{cases} L|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} - \varepsilon|x|^{-\sigma} & \text{for } |x| \leq \sqrt{t}, \\ L|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} - \varepsilon t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} & \text{for } |x| \geq \sqrt{t}. \end{cases}$$

An elementary computations give us that the function G attains its maximum at

$$|x| = c\varepsilon^{-\frac{p-1}{\sigma(p-1)-2}}$$

and

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} G(|x|, t) = C\varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\sigma(p-1)-2}}$$

for some constant $C \geq 0$. Since $\sigma > 2/(p-1)$, we see that the maximum of the function G diverges to infinity if ε tends to zero. This completes the proof of (2.11). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.3(i). According to (4.2) it is enough to estimate the L^2 - norm of the expression $e^{-tH}w_0$ for every w_0 satisfying two conditions: $w_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\cdot|^{-\sigma}w_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Applying (3.5), with $q = 2$, $r = 1$ and using the definition of the functions $\varphi_\sigma(x, t)$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH}w_0\|_2 &\leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}}\|w_0\|_{1, \varphi_\sigma(t)} = Ct^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{4}} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{t}} w_0(x)|x|^{-\sigma} dx \\ &\quad + Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}} \int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{t}} w_0(x) dx \leq Ct^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{4}}\|w_0\| \cdot |\cdot|^{-\sigma}\|_1 + Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}}\|w_0\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes formula (2.12). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.3(ii). Again, by (4.2), we only need to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|e^{-tH}w_0\|_2 = 0$$

for each $w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|w_0 - \psi\|_2 < \varepsilon$. Using first the triangle inequality and next (3.5), with $q = 2$ and $r = 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH}w_0\|_2 &\leq \|e^{-tH}(w_0 - \psi)\|_2 + \|e^{-tH}\psi\|_2 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon + \|e^{-tH}\psi\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the second term on the right-hand side convergence to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by the first part of Theorem 2.3, we get

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|e^{-tH}w_0\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3 (ii), because $\varepsilon > 0$ can be arbitrary small. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 2.2. We linearize equation (1.1) around the positive steady state ψ_k substituting $v = \psi_k - u$ to get

$$v_t = \Delta v + p\psi_k^{p-1}v - ((\psi_k - v)^p - \psi_k^p + p\psi_k^{p-1}v). \quad (5.1)$$

Once more, using the convexity of the function $f(s) = s^p$, let us notice that the expression $(\psi_k - v)^p - \psi_k^p + p\psi_k^{p-1}v$ is nonnegative. Furthermore, $\psi_k < v_\infty$ as long as $p > p_{JL}$ and $n \geq 11$. Hence applying first, the comparison principle to the approximate problem

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= \Delta + p \min\{N^{p-1}, v_\infty^{p-1}\}v, \\ v(x, 0) &= v_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

with the nonnegative initial datum and next, passing to the limit when N tends to infinity, we get

$$0 \leq \psi_k(x) - u(x, t) \leq e^{-tH}(\psi_k(x) - u_0(x)).$$

Now, (2.13) and (2.14) are the straightforward consequences of the reasoning used in the proof of Theorem 2.3. $\square$

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to express her gratitude to the anonymous referee for several helpful comments and to Jacek Zienkiewicz for many stimulating conversations and . This work is a part of the author PhD dissertation written under supervision of Grzegorz Karch.

References

- [1] W. X. Chen, C. Li, *Qualitative properties of solutions to some nonlinear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), 427–439.
- [2] M. Fila, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, *Grow-up rate of solutions for a supercritical semilinear diffusion equation*, J. Diff. Equations **205** (2004), 365–389.
- [3] M. Fila, J. R. King, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, *Optimal lower bound of the grow-up rate for a supercritical parabolic equation*, J. Diff. Equations **228** (2006), 339–356.
- [4] M. Fila, J. R. King, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, *Grow-up rate of solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with a critical exponent*, Adv. Diff. Eq. **12** (2007), 1–26.
- [5] M. Fila, M. Winkler, *Rate of convergence to a singular steady state of a supercritical parabolic equation*, J. Evol. Eq. **8** (2008), 673–692.
- [6] M. Fila, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, *Slow convergence to zero for a parabolic equation with a supercritical nonlinearity*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), 477–496.
- [7] V.A. Galaktionov, J.L. Vazquez, *Continuation of blow-up solutions of nonlinear heat equations in several space dimensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **50** (1997) 1–67.
- [8] B. Gidas, J. Spruck, *Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), 525–598.
- [9] C. Gui, W.-M. Ni, X. Wang, *Furter study on a nonlinear heat equation*, J. Differ. Equations **169** (2001), 588–613.
- [10] D. D. Joseph, T.S. Lundgren, *Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **49** (1973), 241–269.
- [11] V. Liskevich, Z. Sobol, *Estimates of integral kernels for semigroups associated with second order elliptic operators with singular coefficients*, Potential Anal. **18** (2003), 359–390.
- [12] L. Moschini, A. Tesei, *Parabolic Harnack inequality for the heat equation with inverse-square potential*, Forum Math. **19** (2007), 407–427.

- [13] P. D. Milman, Yu. A. Semenov, *Global heat kernel bounds via desingularizing weights*, Journal of Func. Analysis. **212** (2004), 373–398.
- [14] P. Poláčik, E. Yanagida, *On bounded and unbounded global solutions of a supercritical semilinear heat equation*, Math. Ann. **327** (2003), 745–771.
- [15] P. Poláčik, E. Yanagida, *Nonstabilizing solutions and grow-up set for a supercritical semilinear diffusion equation*, Differential and Integral Equations, **17** (2004), 535–548.
- [16] P. Quittner, *The decay of global solutions of a semilinear heat equation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **21** (2008), 307–318.
- [17] P. Quittner, P. Souplet, *Superlinear Parabolic Problems*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts (2007).